FILED

2007 SEP 28 PM 2: 32

U.S. EPA, REGION IX REGIONAL HEARING CLERK

NANCY MARVEL

Regional Counsel

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

RICH CAMPBELL

Assistant Regional Counsel

United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, California 94105

(415) 972-3870

Attorneys for Complainant

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 9

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NO. SDWA-09-2007-0004

American Realty & Mortgage Company, Inc.,

Respondent.

Proceedings under Section 1414(g) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g) COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

COMPLAINT

This civil administrative Complaint and Notice of Opp ortunity for Hearing ("Complaint") is issued to the American Realty & Mortgage Company, Inc. ("Respondent") pursuant to the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Section 1414(g)(3) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, as amended ("SDWA"), 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(3).

The Administrator has delegated the authority to issue this Complaint to the Regional Administrator for EPA Region 9, who in turn has delegated the authority to the Director of the Water Division for EPA Region 9, hereinafter referred to as "Complainant."

Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

1

2

1

4

4

5

6

7

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

This proceeding is subject to EPA's "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits," 40 C.F.R. Part 22 ("Consolidated Rules of Practice") (Complainant's Exhibit 1).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

Each allegation in this Complaint has applied at all relevant times unless otherwise stated.

- Respondent American Realty & Mortgage Company, Inc. is an Arizona corporation and therefore a "person" as that term is defined in Section 1401(12) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(12), and 40 C.F.R. § 141.2.
- 2. The Respondent owns and/or operates a water system known as the American Realty and Mortgage Company Inc. water system (the "System") located in Pinal County, Arizona, for the provision to the public of piped water for human consumption
- 3. The System provides water for human consumption to the public through pipes to at least 21 service connections that regularly serve approximately 50 year-round residents, and is a "public water system" as defined by Section 1401(4) of the SD WA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(4), and 40 C.F.R. § 141.2.
- 4. The System is a public water system that serves at least 15 service connections used by year-round residents of the area served by the system, and regularly serves at least 25 year-round residents, and is therefore a "community water system" as defined by Section 1401(15) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(15), and 40 C.F.R. § 141.2.
- The System serves 3,300 persons or fewer and is a "small water system" as defined by 40
 C.F.R. § 141.2, for the purpose of the Lead and Copper Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart I.
- 6. As the owner and/or operator of the System, Responder t is a "supplier of water" as defined in Section 1401(5) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300f(5), and 40 C.F.R. § 141.2. Respondent is therefore subject to the requirements of Part B o' the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300g et seq., and its implementing regulations at 40 C.F.R. Part 141.

- 7. The source of the System's water is a ground water well.
- 8. The System serves an average of 50 persons daily through 21 service connections.
- 9. On September 21, 2005, EPA issued an Administrative Order (the "Order") to the Respondent pursuant to Section 1414(g) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g), citing violations of the SDWA's implementing regulations at 40 C.F.R. Part 141. A copy of the Order (Docket No. PWS-AO-2005-010) is attached to this Complaint and incorporated herein. (Complainant's Exhibit 2.) The effective date of the Order was September 21 2005. The Order required the Respondent, among other things, to achieve compliance with certain SDWA regulations, in
- 10. By letter dated August 14, 2007, EPA notified Respondent that it had violated the Order by (1) failing to complete two six-month rounds of lead and copper monitoring by the deadline specified in the Order; (2) failing to perform quarterly nitrate monitoring for one year; (3) failing to perform confirmation sampling where initial nitrate sampling results indicate an MCL violation; (4) failing to comply with the 48-hour reporting requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 141.31(b) for failures to comply with National Primary Drinking Water Regulations (NPDWRs), including 40 C.F.R. Part 141; and (5) failing to provide public notice of certain NPDWR violations. (See Complainant's Exhibit 3.)

SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Paragraphs 1 through 10 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 12. Following issuance of the Order on September 21, 2005, Respondent failed to comply with the requirements of the Order as follows:

Count 1: Failure to conduct lead and copper monitoring

13. The Lead and Copper Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subrart I, requires small community water systems to conduct tap water sampling for lead and copper at five sites at least once every

accordance with specified deadlines.

Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

six months beginning with the six-month initial compliance period of July 1, 1993 through December 31, 1993. See 40 C.F.R. § 141.86.

- 14. Respondent failed to conduct two consecutive six-month monitoring periods for lead and copper at five sites at least once every six months beginning with the six-month initial compliance period of July 1, 1993, as required by the Lead and Copper Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart I.
- 15. Based on Respondent's failure to comply with the Let d and Copper Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart I, as described in paragraph 14 above, the Order (pg. 8, paragraph 45) required Respondent to complete two consecutive six-month rounds of monitoring for lead and copper, in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 141.86, by the Order's deadline of August 10, 2006.
- 16. Respondent violated the Order, and the Lead and Copper Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart I, by failing to conduct two consecutive six-month rounds of monitoring for lead and copper by August 10, 2006, or at any time thereafter.

Count 2: Failure to conduct quarterly nitrate monitoring

- 17. 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(d)(2) requires, among other things that community water systems conduct quarterly monitoring for nitrates for at least one year following any one sample in which the concentration is above 50% of the MCL.
- 18. Respondent violated 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(d)(2) by failir g to conduct quarterly monitoring for nitrates for at least one year following submission of its Jur e 3, 2004 and November 19, 2004 monitoring results, which revealed nitrate levels greater than 50% of the MCL (10.8 mg/l and 12.6 on June 3, 2004, and 12.8 mg/l on November 19, 2004).
- 19. Based on Respondent's violation of 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(d)(2) described above in paragraph 18, the Order (pg. 9, paragraph 52) required Respondent to commence quarterly monitoring for nitrates by the Order's deadline of October 21, 2005, and continue such monitoring for at least one year in accordance with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(d)(2).

 20. Respondent violated the Order, 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(d) (2), by failing to commence one year of quarterly monitoring for nitrates by the Order's October 21, 2005 deadline, and by failing to complete at least one year of quarterly monitoring for nitrates at any time thereafter.

Count 3: Failure to conduct confirmation sampling

- 21. 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(f)(2) requires confirmation sampling within 24 hours when initial nitrate sampling results indicate a violation of the nitrate MCL of 10 mg/l, but allows systems unable to comply with the 24-hour sampling requirement to it stead immediately notify persons served by the system of the exceedance in accordance with the Tier 1 public notification requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart Q.
- 22. Respondent violated 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(f)(2) by failing to issue and provide a Tier 1 public notice to the persons served by the System of its failure to take confirmation samples within 24 hours of Respondent's receipt of the analytical results of a November 19, 2004 sampling event, which showed a violation of the nitrate MCL.
- 23. Based on Respondent's violation of 40 C.F.R. § 141.2 3(f)(2), as described above in paragraph 22, the Order (pg. 9, paragraph 52) required Respondent to conduct confirmation sampling if initial nitrate sampling shows a violation of the nit ate MCL, in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(f)(2).
- 24. Respondent violated the Order, and 40 C.F.R. § 141.23(f)(2), by failing to take a confirmation sample within 24 hours of the System's receipt o'notification of the analytical results of its July 17, 2006 nitrate monitoring, which showed levels of nitrate at 15.4 mg/l, above the nitrate MCL of 10 mg/l.
- Count 4: Failure to comply with 48-hour reporting requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 141.31(b)
- 25. 40 C.F.R. § 141.31(b) provides that except where a different reporting period is specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 141, the supplier of water must report to the State within 48 hours the failure to

comply with any NPDWR (including failure to comply with monitoring requirements) set forth in 40 C.F.R. Part 141.

- 26. The Order (pg. 10, paragraph 53) required that Respondent comply upon the effective date of the Order and at all times thereafter with the violation reporting requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 141.31(b) by reporting to EPA and the State of Arizona within 48 hours any failure to comply with the NPDWRs (including monitoring requirements).
- 27. In violation of the Order, and 40 C.F.R. § 141.31(b), Respondent failed to notify EPA and the State of Arizona within 48 hours of the following violations: (a) failing to conduct two consecutive six-month rounds of monitoring for lead and copper; (b) failing to monitor for nitrates in the fourth quarter of 2005, the second and fourth quarters of 2006, and the first two quarters of 2007; (c) failing to take confirmation sampling after initial nitrate sampling of July 17, 2006 revealed nitrate levels greater than 50% of the nitrate MCL; and (d) a July 17, 2006 violation of the nitrate MCL.

Count 5: Failure to provide public notice of violations of 40 C.F.R. § Part 141 specified in the Order

- 28. The Revised Public Notice Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 141. Subpart Q, §§ 141.201 211, contains the public notification requirements that public water systems are required to meet for all violations of NPDWRs.
- 29. Respondent violated the Revised Public Notice Rule by failing to provide public notice to the System's customers within 24 hours of the System's vio ations of the nitrate MCL on June 3, 2004 and November 19, 2004, in accordance with the Tier 1 notice requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 141.202. Respondent also violated the Revised Public Notice Rule by failing to provide public notice to the System's customers within one year of the System's learning of its failure to comply with the Lead and Copper Rule, 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart I, in accordance with the Tier 3 public notice requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 141.204, as described in paragraph 14 above.

30. Based on Respondent's violations of the Revised Public Notice Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart Q, as described in paragraph 29 above, the Order (pg. 10, paragraph 55) required Respondent to issue public notices by October 21, 2005 for Respondent's failure to conduct two six-month rounds of lead and copper monitoring, and for Respondent's violations of the nitrate MCL on June 3, 2004 and November 19, 2004.

31. Respondent violated the Order by failing to issue public notices for its lead and copper monitoring violation and for its nitrate MCL violations, as described in paragraph 29 above, by October 21, 2005, or at any time thereafter.

Count 6: Failure to provide public notice of violations of 40 C.F.R. § Part 141 after the effective date of the Order

- 32. The Revised Public Notice Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 141. Subpart Q, contains the public notification requirements that public water systems are required to meet for all violations of NPDWRs.
- 33. The Order (p. 10, paragraph 55) required Respondent to comply within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the Order, and at all times thereafter, with the public notification requirements of the Revised Public Notice Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart Q.
- 34. In violation of the Order, Respondent failed to provide public notice pursuant to the Revised Public Notice Rule at 40 C.F.R. Part 141, Subpart Q, of the following violations that occurred after the effective date of the Order: (a) failing to conduct two consecutive six-month rounds of monitoring for lead and copper; (b) failing to monitor for nitrates in the second quarter of 2006; (c) failing to take confirmation sampling after initial ritrate sampling of July 17, 2006 revealed nitrate levels greater than 50% of the MCL; and (d) a July 17, 2006 violation of the nitrate MCL.

PROPOSED ADMINISTRATIVE CIVIL PENALTY

- 35. Section 1414(g)(3) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(3), and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. §§ 19.1-19.4, authorizes the Administrator of the EPA to assess an administrative civil penalty, not exceeding \$27,500, against any person who violates, or fails or refuses to comply with, an order issued under section 1414(g)(1) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(1).
- 36. Pursuant to Section 1414(g)(3)(B) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(3)(B), and the Civil Monetary Penalty Inflation Adjustment Rule, 40 C.F.R. §§ 19.1-19.4, and in accordance with the enclosed Consolidated Rules of Practice, EPA hereby requests that a civil penalty of up to twenty-seven thousand five hundred dollars (\$27,500) be assessed against Respondent for violations of the Order issued pursuant to Section 1414(g)(1) of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3(g)(1).
- 37. EPA has determined the proposed penalty amount in accordance with Section 1414 of the SDWA, 42 U.S.C. § 300g-3. EPA took into consideration the seriousness of the violations, the population at risk, and other appropriate factors. In considering these factors, among other things, Complainant has evaluated the economic benefit to Respondent in failing to comply with the SDWA, the gravity and severity of each violation (children are particularly susceptible to the adverse health effects of lead and nitrate in drinking water), the service population of the System, the duration of violation, Respondent's history of non-compliance, and other considerations.

 Respondent ignored repeated requests and orders by ADEQ and EPA to come into compliance with the SDWA and the requirements of the Lead and Copper Rule and the Revised Public Notice Rule, and other SDWA requirements, and has failed to comply to date. Respondent's history of disregarding requirements of the SDWA and its regulations has provided significant economic benefit to Respondent and placed Respondent's customers at risk of exposure to elevated levels of lead, copper, and nitrate in their drinking water.

PROCEDURES GOVERNING THIS ADMINISTRATIVE LITIGATION

38. The rules of procedure governing this administrative itigation are set forth in the Consolidated Rules of Practice, which is codified at 40 C.F.R Part 22. This proceeding will be conducted in accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice, including Subpart I, unless Respondent requests in the Answer a hearing on the record in accordance with section 554 of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 554, in which case Subpart I of the Consolidated Rules of Practice will not apply.

ANSWERING THE COMPLAINT

39. If Respondent intends to contest any material fact upon which the Complaint is based, or to contend that the proposed penalty is inappropriate or that Respondent is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, Respondent must file with the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA Region IX both an original and one copy of a written Answer to the Complaint. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a). Such Answer must be filed within 30 days after service of this Complaint. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a). The address of the Regional Hearing Clerk of EPA Region IX is:

Danielle Carr, Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street (ORC-1)
San Francisco, CA 94105

Respondent must also serve a *copy* of the Answer to the Complaint upon EPA, to the person and address listed in Paragraph 48 below, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(a).

40. Respondent's Answer to the Complaint must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in the Complaint with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(b). Where Respondent has no knowledge of a particular factual allegation and so states in its Answer, the allegation is deemed denied. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(b). If Respondent fails in its Answer to admit, deny, or explain any material factual allegation contained in the Complaint, such failure constitutes an admission of the allegation. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(d).

Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

41. The Answer must also state: (1) the circumstances or arguments that are alleged to constitute the grounds of any defense, (2) the facts that Respondent disputes (and thus intends to place at issue in the proceeding), (3) the basis for opposing the proposed relief, and (4) whether Respondent requests a hearing. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(b).

42. Respondent's failure to affirmatively raise in the Answer facts that constitute or might constitute the grounds of its defense may preclude Respondent, at a subsequent stage in this proceeding, from raising such facts and/or from having such facts admitted into evidence at a hearing.

OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

43. If requested by Respondent in the Answer, a hearing upon the issues raised by the Complaint and Answer may be held. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(c). Any hearing in this proceeding will be held at a location determined in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.21(d).

44. Respondent has the right to elect a hearing on the record in accordance with Section 554 of the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 554. 40 C.F.R. § 22.42(b). Respondent waives this right unless Respondent requests in the Answer a hearing on the record in accordance with Section 554 of the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 554. 40 C.F.R. § 22.42(b).

45. If Respondent does not request a hearing, the Presiding Officer (as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 22.3) may hold a hearing if the Answer raises issues appropriate for adjudication. 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(c).

FAILURE TO ANSWER

46. To avoid entry of a Default Order against you pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17 for a penalty of up to \$27,500 as proposed in this Complaint, Respondent must file a written Answer with the Regional Hearing Clerk at the address above within 30 days of receipt of this Complaint.

Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

- 47. Any penalty assessed in the Default Order will become due and payable by Respondent without further proceedings 30 days after the Default Order becomes final pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.27(c). 40 C.F.R. § 22.17(d). If necessary, EPA may then seek to enforce such Default Order against Respondent, and to collect the assessed penalty amount, in federal court.
- 48. Whether or not Respondent requests a formal hearing, Respondent may request an informal settlement conference to discuss the facts of this case, the proposed penalty, and the possibility of settling this matter. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b). To request such a settlement conference, please contact: Rich Campbell, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street (ORC-2), San Francisco, CA 94105, Phone: (415) 972-3870. Mr. Campbell is authorized to receive service related to this proceeding.
- 49. The parties may engage in settlement discussions regardless of whether Respondent requests a hearing. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(1). In other words, even if Respondent requests a formal hearing, Respondent may also request an informal settlement conference and the informal conference procedure may be pursued simultaneously with the formal adjudicatory hearing procedure. A request for an informal settlement conference constitutes neither an admission nor a denial of any of the matters alleged in the Complaint. EPA cloes not deem a request for an informal settlement conference as a request for a hearing as specified in 40 C.F.R. § 22.15(c).
- 50. Settlement discussions do not affect Respondent's obligation to file a timely Answer to the Complaint pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.15. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(1). EPA will not modify its proposed penalty simply because an informal settlement conference is held.
- 51. The terms and conditions of any settlement that may be reached as a result of a settlement conference will be recorded in a written Consent Agreement signed by all parties. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(2). In order to conclude the proceeding, EPA will execute a Final Order ratifying the parties' Consent Agreement. 40 C.F.R. § 22.18(b)(3). In accepting the Consent Agreement, Respondent waives any right to contest the allegations in the Complaint and waives

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the original and one copy of the COMPLAINT

AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING were hand-carried to the Regional

copy of the same was delivered as follows:

Via certified mail to:

32241 S. Center Street

Joseph W. Lee

P.O. Box 640

Hearing Clerk, EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California, and that a true

Wittmann, Arizona 85361

Heidi Reeves, Branch Secretary

Air & Toxics, Water & General Law Branch

Complaint and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing